Ethylene Receptors and Genetic Engineering of Ethylene Sensitivity in Plants

Kumi SATO-NARA^{1), 2)}, Ken-Ichi YUHASHI^{1), 3)}, Hiroshi EZURA^{1), *}

¹⁾ Plant Biotechnology Institute, Ibaraki Agricultural Center, Ago 3165-1, Iwama, Nishi-ibaraki 319-0292, Japan

²⁾ Present address: Photodynamics Research Center, The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN), 19–1399 Koeji, Nagamachi, Aoba, Sendai 980-0868, Japan

³⁾ Present address: Institute of Genetic Ecology, Tohoku University, 2-1-1 Katahira, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-0812, Japan

*Corresponding author E-mail: ezura@post.agri.pref.ibaraki.jp

Received 15 June 1999; accepted 24 August 1999

Abstract

Ethylene is a gaseous plant hormone which gives cues to various developmental processes and stress responses in plants. Plants regulate their ethylene sensitivity for programmed development and responses to environmental stresses. After the successful isolation of the *Arabidopsis* ethylene receptor gene *ETR1*, ethylene receptor genes have been found in various plant species. Characterization of ethylene receptor genes provides clues to understanding how plants regulate their ethylene sensitivity. This knowledge also gives us potential methods for engineering the ethylene sensitivity of plants. Genetically – engineered plants with reduced ethylene sensitivity will be potential resources for improving crop performance. Moreover, those transgenic plants will provide further information to elucidate the mechanism of how plants regulate their ethylene sensitivity. The recent progress in genetic and protein analyses of ethylene receptors is summarized in this review. The possible strategies for altering the ethylene sensitivity of plants using the ethylene receptor genes are also discussed.

Introduction

Ethylene is implicated in a number of processes in plant development such as seed germination, senescence, abscission, sex determination and fruit ripening and in the response to a wide variety of stresses including pathogen attack, flooding and drought (Abeles *et al.*, 1992). In addition, the involvement of ethylene in the growth transition from vegetative to reproductive phase in *Arabidopsis* (Ogawara *et al.*, 1999) and ovule development in tobacco (Martinis and Mariani, 1999) have been observed. These processes are important for the determination of crop performance. Therefore, an alteration of ethylene action is a valuable target for the genetic engineering of crops.

The action of ethylene is based on two types of responses: the response to a change in the concentration of cellular ethylene, such as an increase in ethylene production, and the response to a change in the sensitivity of tissue to ethylene. Since these responses are closely related to each other and are involved in the intricate mechanism of ethylene action, we need to examine the biosynthesis of ethylene and its perception in order to identify the regulatory role of ethylene. However, our knowledge of the mechanism of how plants control their ethylene biosynthesis and sensitivity is still limited.

The pathway of ethylene biosynthesis has been elucidated during the last few decades, and the basis for subsequent biochemical and molecular genetic analysis of this pathway has been provided (Zarembinski and Theologis, 1994; Imaseki, 1999). Many studies have been performed to clarify the physiological and molecular mechanisms of the various events regulated by changes in ethylene production (Barry et al., 1996; Blume and Grierson, 1997; Bouquin et al., 1997; Clark et al., 1997; Heidstra et al., 1997; ten Have and Woltering, 1997; Trebitsh, et al., 1997; Zarembinski and Theologis, 1997; Bui and O'Neill, 1998). Based on these results, transgenic plants altered their ethylene biosynthesis in tomato and melon by sense/antisense technology have been produced as reviewed by Fray and Grierson (1993) and Ezura (1999), respectively. Produced transgenic plants have become a tool for elucidating the roles of ethylene on plant development (John, et al., 1995) and stress response (Lund et al., 1998) as well as for crop improvement.

The molecular mechanism underlying ethylene sensitivity in plants has been studied by using a genetic approach with Arabidopsis (Bleecker and Schaller, 1996). Ethylene-response mutants can be used to perform such a genetic approach. The ethylene-insensitive mutant etr1 has been identified using the triple response screen of mutagenized seeds (Bleecker et al., 1988). To date, various types of ethylene response mutants have been identified in Arabidopsis (Kieber and Ecker, 1993). The ETR1 gene, which encodes an ethylene receptor, has been isolated and characterized (Chang et al., 1993), and other ETR1-like genes (Hua et al., 1995, 1998; Hua and Meyerowitz, 1998; Sakai et al., 1998) have also been identified in Arabidopsis. Based on sequence similarity and structural features of the proteins, ETR1 - like genes have been isolated from several other plants including tomato (Wilkinson et al., 1995, Lashbrook et al., 1998, Tieman and Klee, 1999), Rumex palustris (Vriezen et al., 1997) and melon (Sato - Nara, et al., 1997, 1999a). Using similar molecular genetic approach, genes related to the ethylene signaling pathway have also been isolated and characterized (Guzman and Ecker, 1990; Kieber et al., 1993; Roman et al., 1995; Chao et al., 1997; Alonso et al., 1999). Based on the analysis of these mutants and genes, a model of the ethylene perception and signal transduction pathway has been proposed (Bleecker et al., 1998; Johnson and Ecker, 1998; Solano and Ecker, 1998; Bleecker, 1999).

Recent progress in understanding the mechanism underlying the ethylene perception and signal transduction pathway has stimulated the production of transgenic plants with altered ethylene sensitivity. Transgenic plants can be a powerful tool for elucidating the regulatory role of ethylene in plant development and stress responses as well as those with altered ethylene biosynthesis. In addition, transgenic plants that confer reduced sensitivity and insensitivity to ethylene are expected to have extended postharvest life for commercial use.

In this review, current progress in the analysis of ethylene receptor genes and their function, including our results, are summarized, and the potential strategies to regulate the ethylene sensitivity of plants using the ethylene receptor genes are discussed.

Isolation and characterization of ethylene receptor genes

The genetic approach with Arabidopsis has been used to identify the genes coding for early components in ethylene signal transduction (Bleecker et al. 1988; Guzman and Ecker 1993; Chang et al. 1993; Kieber et al. 1993; Roman et al. 1995; Alonso et al., 1999). Ethylene inhibits the elongation growth of etiolated (dark - grown) seedlings. Many ethylene insensitive or constitutive triple response mutants have been identified by screening mutagenized populations of Arabidopsis seedlings grown in the dark and in the presence or absence of applied ethylene (Bleecker et al. 1988; Guzman and Ecker 1993; Kieber et al. 1993; Roman et al. 1995; Chao et al. 1997). One of the ethylene insensitive mutants, etr1, was first identified by Bleecker et al. (1988), and the ETR1 gene has been isolated and characterized (Chang et al., 1993).

The ETR1 protein has three N-terminal hydrophobic domains; phytochrome -related T2L and R2L domains, which are homologous domains with the chromophore attachment domains of phytochrome photoreceptors; a GAF domain, which is a homologous domain found in phototransducing proteins; and two domains which are homologous to a histidine kinase and a receiver of the bacterial two-component system (Fig. 1; Kehoe and Grossman 1996; Aravind and Ponting 1997; Bleecker et al. 1998). Mutant alleles of ETR1, designated as etr1-1, etr1-2, etr1-3, etr1-4, cause ethylene insensitivity in the plant (Chang et al., 1993; Fig. 1). All of these mutations cause single amino acid replacements in the three hydrophobic domains, which are putative membrane spanning domains of the protein (Chang et al., 1993; Ala³¹ to Val in etr1-3, Ile⁶² to Phe in etr1-4, Cys⁶⁵ to Tyr in etr1-1, and Ala¹⁰² to Thr in etr1-2; Fig. 1). When etr1-1 was transformed into wild-type Arabidopsis, the transgenic plants lost ethylene sensitivity (Chang et al., 1993). Wilkinson et al. (1997) recently reported that transgenic tomato and petunia with etr1-1 also became insensitive to ethylene, showing that the dominant etr1 mutation generally eliminates ethylene sensitivity in higher plants.

Analysis of *ETR1* expressed in yeast cells showed that the hydrophobic regions of the ETR1 protein are capable of reversibly binding ethylene (Schaller and Bleecker, 1995). This is a strong evidence that *ETR1* encodes an ethylene receptor. Recently, Rodríguez *et al.* (1999) reported that a copper ion associated with the ethylene -binding domain of



Structural features of the ETR1-like gene family Fig. 1 in Arabidopsis and Cm-ETR1 and Cm-ERS1 in melon. Three hydrophobic domains at N-terminus are three putative membrane-spanning domains which compose the ethylene binding domain. The ETR2-like subfamily are characterized by a hydrophobic N-terminal extension. The hydrophobic domains are followed by phytochrome-related T2L and R2L domains (Kehoe and Grossman 1996) containing a GAF domain (Aravind and Ponting 1997). The C-terminal shaded boxes represent the sequences homologous to the histidine kinase domain and the receiver domain of bacterial twocomponent environmental sensor systems. The histidine kinase domains of ETR1, ERS1, Cm-ETR1 and Cm-ERS1 contain all the consensus motifs of bacterial histidine kinase (Parkinson and Kofoid, 1992; Hua et al., 1998; Sato-Nara et al., unpublished results). The ETR2-like family lack some of these motifs (Hua et al. 1998). Receiver domains of ETR1, Cm-ETR1, ETR2 and EIN4 contain all the sequences thought to be essential for phosphotransfer (Hua et al. 1998; Sato-Nara et al., unpublished results). Amino acid conversions shown in the mutant alleles of ETR1, ETR2 and EIN4, and the mutated sequences of ERS1, ERS2 and Cm-ERS1 leading to ethylene insensitivity by transfer into wild-type plants are indicated (Chang et al., 1993; Hua et al. 1995; Sakai et al. 1998; Hua et al. 1998; Ezura et al., unpublished results).

ETR1 is required for high-affinity ethylene binding activity, and that Cys^{65} is an essential residue for both copper association and ethylene binding to the receptor. Hirayama *et al.* (1999) isolated *RAN1* and demonstrated that RAN1 acts by delivering copper to create a functional hormone ethylene receptor. ETR1 forms a disulfide-linked dimer joined by the two cysteine residues (Cys-4 and Cys-6), which are located in the amino-terminal domain (Schaller *et al.* 1995), and ethylene may interact with a Cu(I) cofactor in an electron-rich hydrophobic pocket formed by membrane-spanning helices of the ETR1 dimer (Rodríguez *et al.* 1999).

To date, five ETR1 - like genes, ETR1 (Chang et al., 1993), ERS1 (Hua et al., 1995; Hua and Meyerowitz, 1998), ETR2 (Sakai et al., 1998), EIN4 and ERS2 (Hua et al., 1998) have been identified in Arabidopsis (Fig. 1). Based on sequence similarity and structural features of the proteins, Hua et al. (1998) classified the former two into the ETR1 - like subfamily and the latter three into the ETR2 -like subfamily. ETR1 and ERS1 have three hydrophobic domains at the N-terminus and five consensus motifs found in bacterial histidine kinase, while ETR2, EIN4 and ERS2 have four hydrophobic domains at the N-terminus and lack most of the motifs in histidine kinase (Fig. 1, Parkinson and Kofoid 1992; Hua et al. 1998). Although it has not yet been reported whether other members can bind ethylene, all of the residues thought to be essential for ethylene binding in ETR1 are conserved in the ETR2-like subfamily (Bleecker et al. 1998).

Autophosphorylation of the putative histidine kinase domain of ETR1 expressed in yeast is detected by incubation with radiolabeled ATP (Gamble et al. 1998). Autophosphorylation is abolished by mutations that eliminate either the presumptive site of phosphorylation (His - 353) or putative catalytic residues within the kinase domain. It seems to be impossible for each member in the ETR2 -like subfamily to function as a histidine kinase because they lack most of the consensus motifs of histidine kinase (Fig. 1). ETR1, ETR2 and EIN4 have the receiver domain that receives phosphate from the histidine kinase (transmitter) domain, while ERS1 and ERS2 lack the receiver domain (Fig. 1). The receiver domains of ETR1, ETR2 and EIN4 contain three residues (D, D, K) which are important for phospholylation (Fig. 1). Point mutations in the hydrophobic domains of ERS1 (Hua et al. 1995), ETR2 (Sakai et al. 1998), EIN4 and ERS2 (Hua et al. 1998) also cause insensitivity to ethylene in Arabidopsis, indicating that these homologs share a common function with ETR1.

ETR1 homologs have also been isolated from other plants: the NR gene (Wilkinson et al., 1995), LeETR1 and LeETR2 cDNAs (Lashbrook et al., 1998), LeETR4 and LeETR5 cDNAs (Tieman and Klee, 1999) from Lycopersicon esculentum, the RP-ERS1 cDNA from Rumex palustris (Vriezen et al., 1997), Cm - ETR1 and Cm - ERS1 cDNAs from Cucumis melo (Sato - Nara et al., 1997, 1999a) and the PE - ETR1 and PE - ERS1 cDNAs from Passiflora edulis (Mita et al., 1998). In addition, the sequences of putative ethylene receptor genes and cDNAs from several plants have been registered in databanks and the plant gene register of Plant Physiology (Table 1). LeETR1, LeETR2, LeETR4 and LeETR5, PE -ETR1 and Cm -ETR1 have a receiver domain, while NR, RP -ERS1, PE -ERS1 and Cm -ERS1 have no receiver domain (Bleecker and Schaller, 1996; Vriezen et al., 1997; Lashbrook et al., 1998, Mita et al., 1998, Sato - Nara et al., 1999a, Fig. 1).

According to the protein features of Cm - ETR1and Cm - ERS1, shown in **Fig. 1**, these belong to the *ETR1* subfamily. Both proteins have three hydrophobic domains, phytochrome - related T2L and R2L domain, GAF, and the histidine kinase - related transmitter domain. The hydrophobic domains have

Species	Names of the genes	Туре	Organs and Tissues expressing mRNA at relatively high level	Regulation of gene expression by ethylene (or ACC; organs and tissues, time for treatment)	References
Arabidopsis thaliana	ETR1	ETR1	Procambium cells in the stem, locules of the anthers and developing carpels etc.	Not affected (leaves, 12h)	Chang et al. 1993
A. thaliana	ETR2	ETR2	Developing carpels.	Up-regulated (leaves, 12h)	Sakai <i>et al</i> . 1998
A. thaliana	EIN4	EIN4	The locules of stamens.	Not affected (leaves, 12h)	Hua et al. 1998
A. thaliana	ERS1	ERS1	Embryos, etiolated seedlings including cotyledons, hypocotyls and roots, procambiun cells in stem, young floral primordia locules of the anthers etc.	Up-regulated (leaves, 12h)	Hua et al. 1995, 1998
A. thaliana	ERS2	ERS2	Flowers (the tapetum cells, developing pollen cells and carpels etc.).	Up-regulated (leaves, 12h)	Hua et al. 1998
Brassica oleracea	$ETR1^{\circ}$	ETR1	1		Chen et al. 1998a
B. oleracea	ERS ^c	ERS1			Chen <i>et al.</i> 1998b
Citrus sp.	CERS ⁿ	ERS1	Fruit during colour changing, Abscission zone.	Up-regulated	Cubells et al. 1998
C. sinensis L. Osbeck	ERS	ERS1			Li et al. 1998
<i>Cucumis melo</i> L. <i>reticulatus</i>	Cm-ETR1°	ETR1	Hypocotyls of seedlings, young leaves, seeds during matuaration, pericarp and placenta during fruit ripening.	Up-regulated (ACC; hypocotyls of seedlings and flesh of early- ripe fruit, 1h)	Sato– Nara <i>et al.</i> 1997, 1999a, b
C. melo L. reticulatus	Cm-ERS1 ^c	ERS1	Hypocotyls of deedlings, young leaves, pericarp during fruit enlargement.	Down-regulated (ACC; hypocotyls of seedlings, 1h)	Sato-Nara <i>et al.</i> 1997, 1999a, b
Dianthus caryophyllus Clove pink	DCERS°	ERS1		,	Charng et al. 1997
D. caryophyllus	DC-ERS2 ^c	ERS1			Shibuya <i>et al.</i> 1998
Lycopersicon esculentum	LeETR1° (eTAE1)	ETR1	Constitutive in all developmental stages.		Lashbrook <i>et al.</i> 1998 (Zhou <i>et al.</i> 1996a)

Table 1. Genes or cDNAs for ethylene receptors and its homologs in various plants.

Species	Names of the genes	Туре	Organs and Tissues expressing mRNA at relatively high level	Regulation of gene expression by ethylene (or ACC; organs and tissues, time for treatment)	References
L. esculentum	LeETR2 ^c	ETR1	Present in all tissues at low		Lashbrook et al. 1998
	(TFE27)		level.		(Zhou et al. 1996b)
L. esculentum	LeETR3 (NR)	ERS1	Present in all tissues. Relatively high abundances in ripening fruit, ovaries, leaf petioles and so on.	Up-regulated (maturating fruit, 24h)	Wikinson <i>et al.</i> 1995, Klee <i>et al.</i> 1998, Lashbrook <i>et al.</i> 1998
I esculentum	LeETR4 ⁿ	ETR2	Reproductive tissues.		Tieman et al. 1999
L. esculentum	LeETR5 ⁿ	ETR2	Reproductive tissues.		Tieman et al. 1999
Malus domestica L	$ETR1^{\circ}$	ETR1	- 1		Lee et al. 1998
Borkh cy Granny Smith					
Nicotiana tabacum	$NT-ETR1^{c}$	ETR1			gb: AF0222727
N. tabacum	NT-ERS ^{\$}	ERS1			gb: U87239
N. tabacum	ETS°	ERS1			gb:AF039921
Orvza sativa	OSERS ^c	ERS1			gb:AF013979
Passiflora edulis Sims	PE-ETR1°	ETR1	Arils in fruit.	Not markedly affected (fruit, >10h)	Mita <i>et al</i> . 1998
P. edulis Sims	PE-ERS1°	ERS1	Arils in fruit.	Not markedly affected (fruit, >10h)	Mita <i>et al</i> . 1998
Phalaenopsis sp. 'KCbutterfly'	ERS ^c	ERS1			gb:AF113541
Pisum sativum	ERS1 ^c	ERS1			gb:AF039746
P. sativum cv Alaska	ERS	ERS1			emb:AJ005829
Rumex parstris	RP-ERS1 ^c	ERS1	Shoots more than 4 h after submergence.	Up-regulated (leaf, 24h)	Vriezen <i>et al.</i> 1997, Voesenek <i>et al.</i> 1997
Solanumly copersicum Vigna radiata	Never – ripe ERS1°	ERS1 ERS1	See "Le-ETR3".		Wilkinson <i>et al.</i> 1995 gb:AF098272

c: The sequence of only mRNA (complete cds) is published or available in GenBank.

p: The sequence of mRNA (partial cds) is available in GenBank.

n: The sequence is not available in GenBank.

an essential residue, Cys70, for both copper association and ethylene binding to the receptor. Two cysteine residues (Cys-5 and Cys-7), which are necessary for forming the disulfide-linked dimer, exist in the amino-terminal domain of both proteins. In addition, Cm-ETR1 has a receiver domain. The other genes from L. esculentum, R. palustris, and P. edulis also seem to belong to the ETRI subfamily due to the high identities between their sequences and that of ETR1. Point mutations in the hydrophobic domains of NR (Lanahan et al., 1994; Wilkinson et al., 1995), and LeETR4 and LeETR5 (Tieman and Klee, 1999), and Cm-ERS1 (Yuhashi et al. unpublished results) also cause insensitivity to ethylene in L. esculentum and Arabidopsis, respectively. Furthermore, NR (A.B. Bleecker personal communication) and Cm-ERS1 (Yuhashi et al.

unpublished results) bind ethylene when expressed in yeast, indicating that these homologs share a common function with ETR1.

Ethylene perception and signal transduction

Ethylene perception is most likely carried out by the receptors encoded by the *ETR1*-like family. The genes encoding other components in ethylene signal transduction have also been identified and characterized using a genetic approach with ethylene – related mutants in *Arabidopsis* (Guzman and Ecker, 1990; Kieber *et al.*, 1993; Roman *et al.*, 1995; Chao *et al.*, 1997). Based on double mutant analysis, it is thought that CTR1 acts at or downstream from ETR1, ERS1 and EIN4, and that EIN2, EIN3, EIN5, EIN6 and EIN7 act after CTR1 (Hua *et al.*, 1995;

Roman et al., 1995; Fig. 2).

CTR1 is a negative regulator of the ethylene response pathway because ctr1 null mutants exhibit constitutive ethylene responses even in the absence of ethylene (Kieber et al., 1993). The deduced CTR1 protein sequences are most similar to the Raf family of serine/threonine protein kinase, suggesting that CTR1 may act as a mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase cascade (Kieber et al., 1993). By using the yeast two-hybrid assay, Clark et al. (1998) detected a specific interaction between the CTR1 amino-terminal domain and the predicted histidine kinase domain of ETR1 and ERS1. In addition, the amino-terminal domain of CTR1 can be associated with the predicted receiver domain of ETR1 in vitro (Clark et al., 1998). Based on deletion analysis, the portion of CTR1 that interacts with ETR1 roughly aligns with the regulatory region of Raf kinase (Clark et al., 1998). CTR1 acts in the pathway of ETR1 and ERS1 (Fig. 2) and suggests that these interactions could be involved in the regulation of CTR1.

ein3 mutants show a loss of ethylene - mediated effects including gene expression ethylene regulated - genes, the triple response, cell growth inhi-

bition, and accelerated senescence (Chao et al. 1997). The EIN3 gene encodes a novel nuclear localized protein that contains a highly acidic domain at N-terminus, five small clusters of basic amino acids throughout the EIN3 polypeptide, a proline - rich domain, and an asparagine - rich domain at C-terminus (Chao et al., 1997). They have also reported that three EIN3-LIKE (EIL) proteins share sequence similarity, structural features, and genetic function with EIN3. EIN3, EIL1 and EIL2 are able to complement ein3, and overexpression of EIN3 or EIL1 in wild-type or ethylene-insensitive 2 (ein2) plants confers constitutive ethylene responsive phenotypes, indicating that they are members of the ethylene signaling pathway, and activate the pathway in the absence of ethylene and/or in the absence of a functional EIN2 protein. Thus, EIN3 and EIL1 most likely act after EIN2 (Chao et al., 1997; Fig. 2). EIN3 is both necessary and sufficient for activation of all known responses mediated by the ethylene pathway. EIN3 and EILs might activate the target genes directly or indirectly via transcription factors such as ERFs (ethylene responsive transcription factor, Suzuki et al. 1998; former name EREBP, ethylene-responsive element binding



A. Before binding

B. After binding



protein, Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi, 1995), which mediate ethylene response gene activation in tobacco, and related gene products, AtEBPs, (EBP, ethylene - responsive element binding protein) of *Arabidopsis* (Buttner and Singh 1997; H. Shinshi, personal communication; **Fig. 2**) (Solano *et al.*, 1998a, b). However, the orders of action and functions for other downstream ethylene response genes, such as *EIN5*, *EIN6* and *EIN7*, are still unknown.

Through the phenotypic analysis of multiple recessive mutants in regarding ethylene receptor genes, Hua and Meyerowitz (1998) have suggested that the ethylene receptors positively regulate CTR1 in the absence of ethylene, and that ethylene binding cancels this interaction (Fig. 2). In the absence of ethylene, therefore, an active form of CTR1 inhibits downstream components and ethylene responses. CTR1 is inactive in the presence of ethylene, and then downstream components are activated and ethylene responses occur.

Plant development and receptor genes

In general, each ethylene receptor gene in plants has its particular expression pattern in each tissue. Five ethylene receptor genes were expressed ubiquitously in Arabidopsis plants, but each gene was strongly expressed in its particular tissues and stages (Hua and Meyerowit, 1998, Table 1). Five genes in tomato and two genes in melon were also differentially regulated throughout plant development (Lashbrook et al., 1998, Klee et al. 1998, Sato-Nara et al. 1999a, Tieman and Klee, 1999). Regulation of each ethylene receptor gene by ethylene is different among distinct members in the same species (e.g. between ETR1 and ETR2 in Arabidopsis), or among the homologs in different species (e.g. ETR1 in Arabidopsis and Cm-ETR1 in melon). The expression of ETR2, ERS1 and ERS2 (Hua et al., 1998), NR (Wilkinson et al., 1995; Payton et al., 1996) and RP-ERS1 (Vriezen et al., 1997), CERS (Cubelles et al. 1998), and Cm-ETR1 (Sato-Nara et al. 1999b) are up-regulated by ethylene, while those of ETR1 and EIN4 (Hua et al. 1998), eTAE1 (Zhou et al., 1996a, b; corresponding to LeETR1), PE-ETR1 and PE-ERS1 (Mita et al. 1998), and Cm-ERS1 (Sato-Nara et al. 1999b) are not markedly affected by ethylene treatment. In addition to ethylene, expression of RP-ERS1 is regulated by environmental factors such as flooding, O₂ and CO₂ concentrations (Voesenek et al., 1997; Vriezen et al., 1997). Thus, expression of each ethylene receptor gene is regulated by many developmental and environmental factors, and its pattern is characteristic of each gene in spite of its redundant function. Here, we focus on the expression of the ETR1-like subfamily, especially expression of those in melon, and discuss common and distinct characteristics between melon and other species.

As shown in Fig. 3, the level of Cm-ERS1 mRNA in flesh dynamically increased during fruit enlargement, and decreased at the end of enlargement (Sato-Nara et al. 1999a). Such an increase of mRNA for tomato ethylene receptor genes is not observed in early-developing fruit (Lashbrook et al. 1998). When melon fruits enlarge, the pericarp cells mainly divide in the early developmental stage, and mainly expand during the following stage (Higashi et al., 1999), and high accumulation of Cm-ERS1 mRNA is observed during the stage of cell expansion in the pericarp (Sato-Nara et al. 1999a). A high level of Cm-ERS1 mRNA in hypocotyls of etiolated seedlings and younger leaves in melon was also observed (Sato-Nara and Ezura, unpublished results). In RNA in situ hybridization with various Arabidopsis tissues, the signals of ERS1 appeared higher in younger and smaller cells like leaves, etiolated seedlings, and roots than they did in older and more expanded cells (Hua et al., 1998). The high level of ERS1 mRNA in younger and smaller cells of Arabidopsis is consistent with a higher level of Cm-ERS1 mRNA in the pericarp of the young and expanding fruit, hypocotyl, and expanding leaves in melon. An increase of receptors may reduce the sensitivity (Hua and Meyerowitz, 1998), and the increase of ERS1 and Cm-ERS1 might be related to the regulation of cell expansion through changing ethylene sensitivity. There is a possibility that the different distribution of the ethylene receptor within tissues (or a cell) causes the different sensitivity to ethylene and different responses among tissues (or parts of a cell).

The expression of Cm-ETR1 differed from those of LeETR1 and LeETR2 (Fig. 3; Lashbrook et al., 1998; Sato-Nara et al., 1999a). LeETR1 is expressed constitutively in all plant tissues, and LeETR2 is expressed at low levels throughout the plant except for high levels in imbibing tomato seeds prior to germination (Lashbrook et al., 1998). In melon, however, the level of Cm-ETR1 mRNA changes more dynamically in the fruit tissues during the developmental stage, and Cm - ETR1 has an expression pattern different from that of Cm-ERS1 (Fig. 3; Sato-Nara et al., 1999a). The level of Cm-ETR1 mRNA was high in the seed and placenta of developing and fully enlarged fruit, while the increase of the mRNA level in the pericarp of fruit was concurrent with the beginning of ethylene production during ripening (Fig. 3). Kato et al. (1997) reported that the levels of mRNAs for ACC



Fig. 3 The schematic diagram of expression of Cm-ERS1 and Cm-ETR1 during fruit development in melon. An increase of the Cm-ERS1 mRNA paralleled that of the fruit size is observed at the middle stage of fruit enlargement, and a dramatic decrease at the end of fruit enlargement. Similarly, the Cm-ETR1 mRNA is increased 1 day after pollination and is accumulated at a constant level during fruit enlargement. In the pre-climacteric fruit, the Cm-ETR1 mRNA level in the pericarp is slightly increased, while the Cm-ETR1 mRNA level is still low. The Cm-ETR1 mRNA level in the pericarp is markedly increased in the climacteric fruit. The Cm-ERS1 mRNA level in the pericarp is markedly increased in the climacteric fruit, and decreases as fruit ripens. In the developing fruit, the level of Cm-ERS1 mRNA is much higher in the pericarp than in the immature seeds, while that Cm-ETR1 mRNA is lower in the pericarp than in the other. In fully enlarged fruit, the level of Cm-ETR1 mRNA is lower in all tissues and that of Cm-ETR1 mRNA is lower in the pericarp, while the level of Cm-ETR1 mRNA is high in the seeds.

oxidase and auxin-responsive ACC synthases (ME-ACS2, ME-ACS3) were increased in seeds and placenta of immature fruit, and that the level for wound ACC synthase (ME-ACS1) was increased in the flesh and placenta during ripening. The stages and tissues showing expression of mRNAs for ACC synthases and ACC oxidase are similar to those showing expression of Cm-ETR1 mRNA (Fig. 3; Sato-Nara et al. 1999a), suggesting that the expression of Cm-ETR1 and the genes for enzymes of ethylene biosynthesis were closely related to each other, and that the mechanism for regulation of fruit development involves the reception and biosynthesis of ethylene.

The tomato NR was expressed at low levels during the early stage of fruit development, and increased during maturation (Lashbrook *et al.*, 1998). During fruit maturation and ripening, NR seems to be expressed in a manner more similar to Cm - ETR1 than to Cm - ERS1, because the increase of both NR and Cm - ETR1 mRNAs occurs simultaneously with the climacteric burst of ethylene production, while the increase of Cm - ERS1 mRNA

occurs preceding the burst (Fig. 3; Wilkinson et al., 1995; Lashbrook et al., 1998; Sato-Nara et al. 1999a). Furthermore, expression of both NR (Wilkinson et al., 1995) and Cm-ETR1 (Sato-Nara et al., 1999b) are up-regulated by ethylene in particular stages (maturation stage in tomato; early ripening stage in melon) of fruit development, while those of eTAE1 (Zhou et al., 1996b) and Cm-ERS1 (Sato-Nara et al., 1999b) are not. It is yet unknown why the same types of ethylene receptor genes are regulated quite oppositely between tomato and melon by ethylene. The regulation of ethylene receptors by ethylene may be changed during fruit development, because expression of NR in immature fruits of tomato (Wilkinson et al., 1995) and Cm-ETR1 (Sato-Nara et al., 1999b) in late-ripe fruit of melon is not markedly affected by ethylene treatment like PE-ETR1 and PE-ERS1 in passion fruit (Mita et al., 1998). Further studies on each role of an isoform in fruit development in each plant are required to elucidate the cause of the different pattern of the ethylene receptor genes among the different species and/or different developmental

stages.

How do ethylene receptors work to alter ethylene responses? Hua and Meyerowitz (1998) proposed the model that the ethylene responses are negatively regulated by a receptor gene family in Arabidopsis, but this cannot explain the phenomenon that receptors are increasing at a time when tissue sensitivity to ethylene is also increasing. Vriezen et al. (1997) reported that enhanced levels of ethylene and a low O2 concentration both stimulate petiole elongation in Rumex palstris. They also reported that the treatment with low O₂ concentrations causes both the increase of RP-ERS1 mRNA level and the enhancement of petiole extension by increasing the sensitivity to ethylene without changing the rate of ethylene production. The level of NR mRNA, the sensitivity to ethylene and ethylene production also increase during fruit maturation in tomato (Wilkinson et al., 1995; Lashbrook et al., 1998). Investigation of the relationship between changes of ethylene production, ethylene sensitivity and the levels of mRNAs and proteins of all members of ethylene receptor family is required to clarify how each type of ethylene receptor gene shares a common function and its particular role.

Genetic engineering of ethylene sensitivity

Current Progress in the understanding of ethylene perception and the signal transduction pathway at the molecular level provides potential methods for the genetic engineering of ethylene sensitivity in plants. Transgenic plants with altered ethylene sensitivity should promote the understanding of how plants regulate the sensitivity to ethylene. They are also useful for crop improvement.

On the basis of the model of ethylene perception and the signal transduction pathway in plants (Fig. 2), various strategies for altering the ethylene sensitivity have been provided. In the case of using the ethylene receptor genes, there are three potential methods and one proven, reliable method (Table 2). When one of the receptor genes is expressed in a sense direction, the amount of the ethylene receptor protein should increase in the transgenic plants. Consequently, the transgenic plants should show reduced sensitivity to ethylene because a large amount of ethylene is required to reject the inactivation of CTR1 by ethylene receptors, which result in the activation of EIN2 in the transgenic plant, compared to the wild type plant. We tried to obtain transgenic plants expressing the sense gene of melon Cm-ERS1. However, the number of transgenic plants with the sense gene is lower than those with the antisense gene or mutant gene, suggesting some function of the sense gene in the transgenic plant (Ezura et al., unpublished results). Although we do not know why the frequency of production of transgenic plants with Cm-ERS1 is so low, physiological analysis of the transgenic plants with Cm -ERS1 may prove the clue to understant a function of the gene.

On the other hand, transgenic plants expressing the antisense gene of ethylene receptor should show increased sensitivity to ethylene, because the transgenic plants will require a small amount of ethylene

Genes used for transformation	Methods	Expected sensitivity to ethylene	Related references
<receptor> ETR1, ERS2, ETR2, ERS2, EIN4, and</receptor>	Expression of sense gene	Reduced sensitivity	
the homologs	Expression of antisense gene Expression of gene mutated in the metal binding residue	Increased sensitivity Insensitivity	Chang <i>et al.</i> (1993) Wilkinson <i>et al.</i> (1997)
	Expression of gene mutated in the residue responsible for autophosphlrylation	Reduced sensitivity	
<pre><other components=""></other></pre>			
CTR1, and the	Expression of sense gene	Reduced sensitivity	
homologs	Expression of antisense gene	Constitutive sensitivity	Kieber et al. (1993)
<i>EIN2, EIN3,</i> and the homologs	Expression of sense gene	Constitutive sensitivity	Chao <i>et al.</i> (1997) Alonso <i>et al.</i> (1999)
-	Expression of antisense gene	Reduced sensitivity	

Table 2. Potential methods for altering the ethylene sensitivity using the components of ethylenesignal-transduction pathway in transgenic plants.

for rejecting the downregulation of EIN2, compared to the wild type plants. However, through the screening of transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants with the antisense gene of the melon ethylene receptor gene, Cm - ERS1, we have obtained transgenic plants showing a phenotype identical to *etr1* mutant, the ethylene insensitive *Arabidopsis* mutant (Ezura *et al.*, unpublished results). Further analysis of the transgenic plants is required.

From the analysis of ethylene receptor proteins and the mutant plants, ethylene receptor proteins have two important residues for their function, residues responsible for ethylene binding and histidine kinase activity. Therefore, if we introduce the mutation in those residues and transform those genes to plants, it is expected that the transgenic plants will show the altered sensitivity to ethylene. The receptor protein with a mutation in ethylene binding residue can not bind ethylene and constitutively activate CTR1 even in the presence of ethylene. The transgenic plants expressing the mutant receptor should confer insensitivity to ethylene. Actually etr1 mutants of Arabidopsis have the mutation in such sites of ETR1 protein (Chang et al., 1993). When the etr1 - 1 gene was introduced into tomato and petunia, both transgenic plants showed insensitivity to ethylene (Wilkinson et al., 1997). We have obtained the same results using melon ethylene receptor gene (Ezura and Yuhasi, Unpublished results). We introduced a point mutation in the ethylene binding residue of melon receptor, Cm-ERS1, and transformed the mutant gene to Arabidopsis. As expected, transgenic Arabidopsis plants showed reduced sensitivity to ethylene.

On the other hand, when the ethylene receptor protein with a mutation in the residue responsible for histidine kinase activity is overexpressed, competition of ethylene binding between mutants and wild type proteins could occur. Consequently, a large amount of ethylene is required for the cancellation of CTR1 activation. The transgenic plants should show reduced sensitivity to ethylene.

In the case of using the components of the ethylene signaling pathway, two strategies, expression of sense or antisense genes, will be effective in regulating ethylene sensitivity. The *ctr1* null mutant showed constitutive sensitivity to ethylene (Kieber *et al.*, 1993). Therefore, if we express *CTR1* and its homologs in a sense direction, the transgenic plants might show the reduced sensitivity. However, if we express the gene in an antisense direction, the transgenic plants should show increased sensitivity. *ein2* and *ein3* mutants showed insensitivity to ethylene (Guzuman and Ecker, 1990; Roman *et al.*, 1995). Therefore, if we overexpress *EIN2*, *EIN3* and the homologs in a sense direction, the transgenic plants should show the constitutive response to ethylene while, if we express those in an antisense direction, the transgenic plants should show the reduced response. Actually, overexpression of EIN3 in *Arabidopsis* conferred the constitutive ethylene response (Chao *et al.*, 1997).

Through the analysis of ethylene insensitive mutants and transgenic plants of *Arabidopsis*, tomato and petunia (Bleecker *et al.*, 1988; Lanahan *et al.*, 1994; Wilkinson *et al.*, 1997; Ogawara *et al.*, 1999), it is expected that transgenic plants conferring reduced sensitivity or insensitivity to ethylene show the altered phenotypes like long shelflife of fruits, flowers and leaves, and delayed growth transition from vegetative to reproductive growth. These alterations will be useful for a variety of crops with commercial importance.

The ripening of climacteric fruits, including apple, avocado, melon, tomato, banana, peach and persimmon (Abeles et al., 1992), and the senescence of lettuce (Rood, 1956) and broccoli (Tian et al., 1994) are rapidly progressed by ethylene. Abscission in cut flowers like carnation, rose, snapdragon and sweet pea, and potted plants like Christmas cactus, Impatiens and Pelargonium are also progressed by ethylene (Abeles et al., 1992). In order to prevent the ripening, senescence and abscission of these crops, a variety of storage systems like controlled atmosphere storage and hypobaric storage have been developed, and these systems sometimes result in the crops becoming more costly. Alternatively the crops have been harvested at the immature stage for extending the postharvest life. However, the harvest at the immature stage results in problems like low quality of fruits and less volume of cut flowers. Crops conferring the insensitivity or reduced sensitivity to ethylene could reduce the postharvest loss. They allow storage of the crops without specialized storage systems and such crops may be harvested at the mature stage. This results in reducing cost and increasing the quality. Additionally these transgenic plants will contribute to reduce postharvest losses in the countries that do not have the storage systems for crops. Bolting of chinese cabbage, lettuce and spinach limits the period of their production. If we can delay the bolting time of these crops, it will be possible to cultivate these crops for a longer period. Since the ethylene insensitive plants tend to delay bolting time (Bleecker et al., 1988; Ogawara et al., 1999), genetically engineered crops conferring the insensitivity or reduced sensitivity to ethylene could contribute to overcoming this problem as well.

Perspective

Ethylene receptor genes and the homologs from a variety of plant species have been isolated and characterized after the successful isolation of Arabidopsis ethylene receptor genes. Structural analysis of Arabidopsis ethylene receptor genes provides information about the function of each domain. Through this analysis, transgenic Arabidopsis plants with a mutated ethylene receptor gene have been produced and have demonstrated that they are insensitive to ethylene. However, we still do not know how plants regulate their sensitivity to ethylene. Understanding molecular events that lead to the alteration of ethylene sensitivity in transgenic plants with ethylene receptor genes may be a clue to elucidate the mechanism of how plants regulate the sensitivity to ethylene.

It is possible that each ethylene receptor has a specific role in plant development and responses based on the expression analysis of each gene.

However, we still do not know the specific role of each ethylene receptor, although we have some information about differential and specific mRNA expression of ethylene receptor genes (Hua and Meyerowitz, 1998, Lashbrook *et al.*, 1998, Tieman and Klee, 1999, Sato-Nara *et al.*, 1999a). Therefore, it is important to look for the precise role of each ethylene receptor in plant development and responses, providing a significant goal of this field.

In addition, since the differences in the expression of each ethylene receptor gene may account for the regulation of sensitivity to ethylene, it is necessary that the mechanisms of how plants regulate the expression of ethylene receptor genes be understood. Consequently, analysis of promoters of ethylene receptor genes and the *trans*- acting factors will be required. The knowledge obtained by such analysis will contribute not only to the explanation of how plants regulate their sensitivity to ethylene, but also to the application of genetic engineering of ethylene sensitivity to make crop improvements.

Ethylene is implicated in the development of adaptations and stress responses in plants. They include the shelf-life of climacteric fruits and flowers, the transition from vegetative growth to reproductive growth, and plant-microbe interaction. Therefore, if we can effectively alter the sensitivity to ethylene, the methods will be a significant tool for crop improvements.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. A.B. Bleecker, University of Wisconsin for providing a chance to carry out the binding assay of melon ethylene receptor, and to Dr. Kakimoto, Osaka University in Japan, and Dr. Mark P. Running, University of California Berkeley in USA for critical reading of and comments on this manuscript. This work is supported by the grant from Science and Technology Agency, Japan.

Literature Cited

- Abeles, F.B., Morgan, P.W., Saltveit, M.E.Jr., 1992. Ethylene in Plant Biology, Ed 2. Academic Press, San Diego, CA
- Alonso, J.M., Hirayama, T., Roman, G., Nourizadeh, S., Ecker, J., 1999. EIN2, a bifunctional transducer of ethylene and stress responses in *Arabidopsis*. Science, 284:2148-2152.
- Arabind, L., Ponting, C.P., 1997. The GAF domain an evolutionary link between diverse phototransducting proteins. Trends Biochem. Sci., 22: 458-459.
- Bleecker, AB, Estelle, M.A, Somerville, C, Kende, H., 1988. Insensitivity to ethylene conferred by a dominant mutation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Science, 241: 1086-1089.
- Bleecker, A.B., 1999. Ethylene perception and signaling: an evolutionary perspective. Trends Plant Sci., 4:269-274.
- Bleecker, A.B., Schaller, G.E., 1996. The mechanism of ethylene perception. Plant Physiol., 111: 653-660.
- Bleecker, A.B., Esch, J.J., Hall. A.E., Rodríguez F.I., Binder, B.M., 1998. The ethylene-receptor family from Arabidopsis: structure and function. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 353: 1405-1412.
- Blume, B., Grierson, D., 1997. Expression of ACC oxidase promoter - GUS fusions in tomato and *Nicotiana plumbaginifolia* regulated by developmental and environmental stimuli. Plant J 12: 731-746
- Bouquin, T., Lasserre, E., Pradier, J., Pech, J.C., Balagué C., 1997. Wound and ethylene induction of the ACC oxidase melon gene CM-ACO1 occurs via two direct and independent transduction pathways. Plant Mol Biol 35: 1029-1035
- Bovy, A.G., Angenent, G.C., Dons, H.J.M., van Altvort, A.C., 1999. Heterologous expression of the Arabidopsis etr1-1 allele inhibits the senescence of carnation flowers. Mol. Breed., 5:301-308.
- Bui, A.Q., O'Neill, S.D., 1998. Three 1 aminocyclopropane - 1 - carboxylate synthase genes regulated by primary and secondary pollination signals in orchid flowers. Plant Physiol 116: 419-428.
- Buttner, M., Singh, K.B., 1997. Arabidopsis thaliana ethylene - responsive element binding protein (AtEBP), an ethylene - inducible, GCC box DNA binding protein interacts with an ocs element binding protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **94**: 5961-5966.
- Chang, C., Kwok, S.F., Bleecker, A.B., Meyerowitz, E.M., 1993. Arabidopsis ethylene-response gene ETR1: simi-

larity of product to two-component regulators. Science, **262**: 539-544.

- Chao, Q., Rothenberg, M., Solano, R., Roman, G., Terzaghi, W., Ecker, J.R., 1997. Activation of the ethylene gas response pathway in *Arabidopsis* by the nuclear protein ETHYLENE - INSENSITIVE3 and related proteins. Cell, 89: 1133-1144.
- Charng, Y.Y., Sun, C.W., Yan, S.L., Chou, S.J., Chen, Y.R., Yang, S.F., 1997. cDNA sequence of a putative ethylene receptor from carnation petals (Accession No. AF016250) (PGR97-144). Plant Physiol. 115: 863.
- Chen, H.-H., Charng, Y.-Y., Shang, F.Y., Shaw, J.-F., 1998a. Molecular cloning and sequencing of a broccoli cDNA (Accession No.AF047476) encoding an ETRtype ethylene receptor (PGR98-088). Plant Physiol. **117**: 717.
- Chen, H.-H., Charng, Y.-Y., Yang, S.F., Shaw, J.-F., 1998b. Isolation and characterization of a broccoli cDNA (Accession No.AF047477) encoding an ERS – type ethylene receptor (PGR98-123). Plant Physiol. 117: 1126.
- Clark, K.L., Lassen, P.,B., Wang, X., Chang, C., 1998. Association of the Arabidopsis CTR1 Rf-like kinase with the ETR1 and ERS ethylene receptors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 95: 5401-5406.
- Cubells, X., Alonso, J.M., Granell, A., 1998. Ethylene perception and response in citrus fruit. In Abstructs: European Union TMR Euroconference Programme "Biology and biotechnology of the plant hormone ethylene II". Island of Thira, Cyclades, Greece, September 5-8.
- Ezura, H. 1999. Genetic engineering of melon (Cucumis melo L.) fruit ripening. Plant Growth Regulation. 34:75-84.
- Gamble, R.L., Coonfield, M.L., Schaller, G.E., 1998. Histidine kinase activity of the ETR1 ethylene receptor from *Arabidopsis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 95: 7825 -7829.
- Guzman, P., Ecker, J.R., 1990. Exploiting the triple response of *Arabidopsis* to identify ethylene - related mutants. Plant Cell, 2: 513-523.
- Heidstra, R., Yang, W.C., Yalcin, Y., Peck, S., Emons, A.M., van Kammen, A., Bisseling, T. 1997. Ethylene provides positional information on cortical cell division but is not involved in Nod factor-induced root hair tip growth in *Rhizobium* - legume interaction. Development, 124: 1781-1787.
- Higashi, K., Hosoya, K., Ezura, H., 1999. Histological analysis of fruit development between two melon (*Cucumis melo* L. *reticulatus*) genotypes setting a different size of fruit. J. Exp. Bot. 50: 1593-1597
- Hirayama, T., Kieber, J.J., Hirayama, N., Kogan, M., Guzman, P., Nourizadeh, S., Alonso, J., Dailey, W.P., Dancis, A., Ecker, J.R., 1999. *Response - to - antagonist1*, a Menkes/Wilson disease - related copper transporter, is required for ethylene signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Cell, **97**:383-393.
- Hua, J., Chang, C., Sun, Q., Meyerowitz, E.M., 1995. Ethylene insensitivity conferred by *Arabidopsis ERS*

gene. Science, 269: 1712-1714.

- Hua, J., Sakai, H., Nourizadeh, S., Chen, Q.G., Bleecker, A.B., Ecker, J.R., Meyerowitz, E.M., 1998. *EIN4* and *ERS2* are members of the putative ethylene receptor gene family. Plant Cell, **10**: 1321-1332.
- Hua, J., Meyerowitz, E.M., 1998. Ethylene responses are negatively regulated by a receptor gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Cell, **94**: 261-271.
- Imaseki, H., 1999. Control of ethylene synthesis and metabolism. In Biochemistry and molecular biology of plant hormones. Hooykaas, P.J.J., Hall, M.A., Libbenga, K.R. (Eds). Elsevier Science.
- John, I., Drake, R., Farrell, A., Cooper, W., Lee, P., Horton, P., Grierson, D., 1995. Delayed leaf senescence in ethylene - deficient ACC - oxidase antisense tomato plants: molecular and physiological analysis. Plant J., 7:483-490.
- Johnson, P.R., Ecker, J.R., 1998. The ethylene gas signal transduction pathway: A molecular perspective. Annu. Rev. Genet., 32:227-54.
- Kato, T., Ohtani, T., Kawai, K., Hirabayashi, T., Nakagawa, H., Sato, T., 1997. Ethylene formation in melon fruits during the early stage of ripening. Plant Cell physiol (Supplement), 38: 117.
- Kehoe, D.M., Grossman, A.R., 1996. Similarity of a chromatic adaptation sensor to phytochrome and ethylene receptors. Science, 273: 1409-1412.
- Kieber, J., and Ecker, J.R., 1993. Ethylene gas: it's not just for ripening any morel. Trend Genet., 9:356-362.
- Kieber, J.J., Rothenberg, M., Roman, G., Feldman, K.A., Ecker, J.R., 1993. CTR1, a negative regulator of the ethylene response pathway in Arabidopsis, encodes a member of the Raf family of protein kinase. Cell, 72: 427-441.
- Klee, H., Tieman, D., Lashbrook, C., Lund, S.,1998. Ethylene: so many receptors and such a little molecule. Abstracts for 16Th International Conference on Plant Growth Substances. Makuhari Messe, Chiba, Japan. August 13-17.
- Lanahan, M.B., Yen, H.-C., Giovannoni, J.J., Klee, H.J., 1994. The *Never ripe* mutation blocks ethylene perception in tomato. Plant Cell, **6**: 521-530.
- Lashbrook, C.C., Tieman, D.M., Klee, H.J., 1998. Differential regulation of the tomato *ETR* gene family throughout plant development. Plant J., 15: 243-252.
- Lee, S.A., Ross, G.S., Gardner, R.C., 1998. An apple (Malus domestica L. Borkh cv Granny Smith) homolog of the ethylene receptor gene ETR1 (Accession No. AF032448) (PGR98-125). Plant Physiol., 117, 1126.
- Li, C.Y., Jacob-Wilk, D., Zhong, G.Y., Goron, R., Holland, D., 1998. A full-length cDNA encoding an ethylene receptor ERS homologue from citrus (Accession No. AF092088) (PGR98-198). Plant Physiol., 118: 1534.
- Lund, S.T., Stall, R.E., Klee, H.J., 1998. Ethylene regulates the susceptible response to pathogen infection in tomato. Plant Cell, **10**:371-382.
- Martinis, D.D., Mariani, C., 1999. Silencing gene expression of the ethylene-forming enzyme results in a reversible inhibition of ovule development in transgenic tobacco

plants. Plant Cell, 11:1061-1071.

- Mita, S., Kawamura, S., Yamawaki, K., Nakamura, K., Hyodo, H., 1998. Differential expression of genes involved in the biosynthesis and perception of ethylene during ripening of passion fruit. Plant Cell Physiol., **39**: 1209-1217.
- Ohme Takagi, M., Shinshi, H., 1995. Ethylene inducible DNA binding proteins that interact with an ethylene responsive element. Plant Cell, 7: 173-182.
- Ogawara, T., Higashi, K., Kamada, H., Ezura, H., 1999. Ethylene is involved in the transition of vegetative to reproductive growth in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Submitting.
- Parkinson, J.S., Kofoid, E.C., 1992. Communication modules in bacterial signalling proteins. Annu. Rev. Genet., 26: 71-112.
- Payton, S., Fray, R.G., Brown, S., Grierson, D., 1996. Ethylene receptor expression is regulated during fruit ripening, flower senescence and abscission. Plant Mol. Biol. 31: 1227-1231.
- Rodríguez, F.I., Esch, J.J., Hall, A.E., Binder, B.M., Schaller, G.E., Bleecker, A.B., 1999. A copper cofactor for the ethylene receptor ETR1 from *Arabidopsis*. Science, 283: 996-998.
- Roman, G., Lubarsky, B., Kieber, J.J., Rothernberg, M., Ecker, J.R., 1995. Genetic analysis of ethylene signal transduction in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: Five novel mutant loci integrated into a stress response pathway. Genetics, 139: 1393-1409.
- Rood, P., 1956. Relation of ethylene and postharvest temperature to brown spot of lettuce. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 68:296-303.
- Sakai, H., Hua, J., Chen, Q.G., Chang, C., Bleecker, A.B., Medrano, L.J., Meyerowitz, E.M., 1998. *ETR2* is an ETR1 - like gene controlling ethylene signal transduction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 5812-5817.
- Sato Nara, K., Yuhashi, K., Higashi, K., Hosoya, K., Kubota, M., Ezura, H., 1997. Isolation and expression of a *ETR1* homolog in melon (*Cucumis melo L. reticulatus*). Abs. No.840. In 5th Inter. Cong. Plant Mol. Biol., Singapore.
- Sato Nara, K., Yuhashi, K., Higashi, K., Hosoya, K., Kubota, M., Ezura, H., 1999a. Stage - and tissue specific expression of ethylene receptor homolog genes during fruit development in muskmelon. Plant Physiol. 120:321-329.
- Sato-Nara, K., Yuhashi, K., Hosoya, K., Kubota, M., Ezura, H., 1999b. Contrary changes of *MEETR1* and *MEERS* transcript levels by ethylene in melon (*Cucumis melo* L. *reticulatus*) seedlings. Plant Cell Physiol. (Supplement) 40: 51
- Schaller, G.E., Bleecker, A.B., 1995. Ethylene-binding sites generated in yeast expressing the Arabidopsis ETR gene. Science 270: 1809-1811.
- Schaller, G.E., Ladd, A.N., Lanahan, M.B., Spanbauer, J.M., Bleecker, A.B., 1995. The ethylene response mediator ETR1 from *Arabidopsis* forms a disulfide - linked dimer. J. Biol. Chem. 270: 12526-12530.

Shibuya, K., Satoh, S., Yoshioka, T., 1998. A cDNA encod-

ing a putative ethylene receptor related to petal senescence in carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) flowers (Accession No. AF034770) (PGR98 - 019). Plant Physiol. **116**: 867.

- Solano, R., Stepanova, A., Chao, Q., Ecker, J.R., 1998a. Nuclear events in ethylene signaling: a transcriptional cascade mediated by *ETHYLENE - INSENSITIVE3* and *ETHYLENE - RESPONSE - FACTOR1*. Gene Dev., 12:3703-3714.
- Solano, R., Ecker, J.R., 1998b. Ethylene gas: perception, signaling and response. Curr. Opi. Plant Biol. 1:393 – 398.
- Suzuki, K., Suzuki, N., Ohme-Takagi, M., Shinshi, H., 1998. Immediate early induction of mRNAs for ethylene-responsive transcription factors in tobacco leaf strips after cutting. Plant J., 15: 657-665.
- ten Have, A., Woltering, E.J., 1997. Ethylene biosynthetic genes are differentially expressed during carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L) flower senescence. Plant Mol. Biol. 34: 89-97.
- Tian, M.S., Downs, C.G., Lill, R.E., King, G.A., 1994. A role of ethylene in the yellowing of broccoli after harvest. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 119:276-281.
- Tieman, D.M., Klee, H.J., 1999. Differential expression of two novel members of the tomato ethylene - receptor family. Plant Physiol., **120**:165-172.
- Trebitsh, T., Staub, J.E., O'Neill, S.D., 1997. Identification of a 1-aminocycropropane-1-carboxylic acid synthase gene linked to the female (F) locus that enhances female sex expression in cucumber. Plant Physiol. 113: 987-995.
- Voesenek, L.A.C.J., Vriezen, W.H., Smekens, M.J.E., Huitink, F.H.M., Bögemann, G.M., Blom, C.W.P.M., 1997.
 Ethylene sensitivity and response sensor expression in petioles of *Rumex* species at low O₂ and high CO₂ concentrations. Plant Physiol. 114: 1501-1509.
- Vriezen, W.H., van Rijn, C.P.E., Voesenek, L.A.C.J., Mariani, C., 1997. A homolog of the Arabidopsis thaliana ERS gene is actively regulated in Rumex palustris upon flooding. Plant J. 11: 1265-1271.
- Wilkinson, J.Q., Lanahan, M.B., Yen, H.-C., Giovannoni, J.J., Klee, H.J., 1995. An ethylene-inducible component of signal transduction encoded by Never-ripe. Science 270: 1807-1809.
- Wilkinson, J.Q., Lanahan, M.B., Clark, D.G., Bleecker, A.B., Chang, C., Meyerowitz, E.M., Klee, H.J., 1997. A dominant mutant receptor from *Arabidopsis* confers ethylene insensitivity in heterologous plants. Nature Biotech. 15: 444-447.
- Zarembinski, T.I., Theologis, A., 1994. Ethylene biosynthesis and action: a case of conservation. Plant Mol. Biol. 26: 1579-1597.
- Zarembinski, T.I., Theologis, A., 1997. Expression characteristics of OS-ACS1 and OS-ACS2, two members of the 1-aminocyclopropane -1-carboxylate synthase gene family in rice (Oryza sativa L cv Habiganj Aman II) during partial submergence. Plant Mol. Biol. 33: 71-77.
- Zhou, D., Kalaitzis, P., Mattoo, A.K., Tucker, M.L., 1996a.

The mRNA for an *ETR1* homologue in tomato is constitutively expressed in vegetative and reproductive tissues. Plant Mol. Biol. 30: 1331-1338.

Zhou, D., Kalaitzis, P., Mattoo, A.K., Tucker, M.L., 1996b.

Molecular cloning of a tomato cDNA (Accession no. U4279) encoding an ethylene receptor. Plant Physiol. **110**: 1435.